BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	O A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	
OFFENSE CHARGED  33 U.S.C. § 1908(a) - Failing to Maintain an Oil Record Book  Mind Misc mea  PENALTY: See Attached	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GALIFORNIA  JUL 1 6 2010  DEFENDANT  RICHARD W. WIEKING NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT OAKLAND  OAKLAND  OAKLAND
	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING  Name of Complainant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any) U.S. Coast Guard and Environmental Protection Agency  person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	IS NOT IN CUSTODY  Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.  If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges  2)  Is a Fugitive
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District  this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of:	3)
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant  MAGISTRATI CASE NO.  prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	6) Awaiting trial on other charges  If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution  Has detainer Yes   If "Yes" give date filed  DATE OF   Month/Day/Year    ARREST   Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person  Joseph P. Russoniello  Furnishing Information on this form	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL INF	ORMATION OR COMMENTS
SUMMONS NO PROCESS* WARRANT  If Summons, complete following: Arraignment Initial Appearance  Defendant Address:	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment  Date/Time: July 26, 2010 Before Judge: Hon. Judge Beeler

#### Penalties

## Defendant TRANSMAR SHIPPING CO, S.A.

Count One - Failing to Maintain an Oil Record Book, in violation of 33 U.S.C. § 1908(a)

Maximum fine:

\$500,000 (or twice the gross gain or loss)

Maximum probation term:

5 Years

Mandatory special assessment:

\$400

Restitution as ordered by the Court

Count Two - Making a False Statement, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001

Maximum fine:

\$500,000 (or twice the gross gain or loss)

Maximum probation term:

5 Years

Mandatory special assessment:

\$400

Restitution as ordered by the Court

## **Defendant DIMITRIOS DIMITRAKIS**

Count One - Failing to Maintain an Oil Record Book, in violation of 33 U.S.C. § 1908(a)

Maximum prison sentence:

6 Years

Maximum fine:

\$250,000

Maximum supervised release term:

3 Years

Mandatory special assessment:

\$100

## **Defendant VOLODOMYR DOMBROVSKYY**

Count One – Aiding and Abetting the Failure to Maintain an Accurate Oil Record Book, in violation of 33 U.S.C. § 1908(a)

Maximum prison sentence:

6 Years

Maximum fine:

\$250,000

Maximum supervised release term:

3 Years

Mandatory special assessment:

\$100

Maximum period of probation:

5 years

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE T	O A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	
OFFENSE CHARGED  33 U.S.C. § 1908(a) - Aiding and Abetting the Failure to Maintain an Accurate Oil Record Book  Peth	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
Mind  Misd  meal	DEFENDANT NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT OAKLAND OAKLAND
PENALTY: E-filing	DLJ  CR10-00552
	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING  Name of Complainant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any) U.S. Coast Guard and Environmental Protection Agency	IS NOT IN CUSTODY  Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.  If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	2) S a Fugitive 3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District  this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of DOCKET NO	IS IN CUSTODY  4)  On this charge  5)  On another conviction Federal State
U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	6) Awaiting trial on other charges  If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTRATE CASE NO.	tiled
prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
U.S. Attorney  Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) AUSA CHINHAYI CADE	<del></del>
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL INF	ORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————
✓ SUMMONS □ NO PROCESS* □ WARRANT	Bail Amount:
If Summons, complete following:  Arraignment Initial Appearance  Defendant Address:	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
	Date/Time: July 26, 2010 Before Judge: Hon. Judge Beeler
Comments:	

### **Penalties**

## Defendant TRANSMAR SHIPPING CO, S.A.

Count One - Failing to Maintain an Oil Record Book, in violation of 33 U.S.C. § 1908(a)

Maximum fine:

\$500,000 (or twice the gross gain or loss)

Maximum probation term:

5 Years

Mandatory special assessment:

\$400

Restitution as ordered by the Court

Count Two - Making a False Statement, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001

Maximum fine:

\$500,000 (or twice the gross gain or loss)

Maximum probation term:

5 Years

Mandatory special assessment:

\$400

Restitution as ordered by the Court

## **Defendant DIMITRIOS DIMITRAKIS**

Count One - Failing to Maintain an Oil Record Book, in violation of 33 U.S.C. § 1908(a)

Maximum prison sentence:

6 Years

Maximum fine:

\$250,000

Maximum supervised release term:

3 Years

Mandatory special assessment:

\$100

## **Defendant VOLODOMYR DOMBROVSKYY**

Count One – Aiding and Abetting the Failure to Maintain an Accurate Oil Record Book, in violation of 33 U.S.C. § 1908(a)

Maximum prison sentence:

6 Years

Maximum fine:

\$250,000

Maximum supervised release term:

3 Years

Mandatory special assessment:

\$100

Maximum period of probation:

5 years

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO	O A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: $\square$ COMPLAINT $\square$ INFORMATION $\square$ INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/of Judge Martstrate Lecation
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDIN	IG NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
33 U.S.C. § 1908(a) - Act to Prevent Pollution	, 0 2010
Statemente	RICHARD W. WIEKING
Minor	DEFENDANT DISTRICT OF CAUSE OF COURT
E-filing Misde mean	
☑ Felon	
PENALTY: See Attached	CR10-00552 DLJ
	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complainant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any) U.S. Coast Guard and Environmental Protection Agency	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.     If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district	
per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY
	4) 🗸 On this charge
this is a reprosecution of	, ap.,
charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion SHOW	5) On another conviction
of: DOCKET NO.	6) Awaiting trial on other charges
U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a	Has detained Yes 1 If "Yes"
pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTRATE	Has detainer Live date
CASE NO.	DATE OF Month/Day/Year
prior proceedings or appearance(s)  before U.S. Magistrate regarding this	ARREST
defendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person  Furnishing Information on this form	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) AUSA CHINHAYI CADET	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
	ORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————
PROCESS:  ✓ SUMMONS  ✓ NO PROCESS*  ✓ WARRANT	Bail Amount:
If Summons, complete following:	Ball Amount
✓ Arraignment ✓ Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
Defendant Address:	wanani needed, ambe magadate nas suneduted analynment
	Date/Time: July 26, 2010 Before Judge: Hon. Judge Beeler
Comments:	

### Penalties

## Defendant TRANSMAR SHIPPING CO, S.A.

Count One - Failing to Maintain an Oil Record Book, in violation of 33 U.S.C. § 1908(a)

Maximum fine:

\$500,000 (or twice the gross gain or loss)

Maximum probation term:

5 Years

Mandatory special assessment:

\$400

Restitution as ordered by the Court

Count Two – Making a False Statement, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001

Maximum fine:

\$500,000 (or twice the gross gain or loss)

Maximum probation term:

5 Years

Mandatory special assessment:

\$400

Restitution as ordered by the Court

### **Defendant DIMITRIOS DIMITRAKIS**

Count One - Failing to Maintain an Oil Record Book, in violation of 33 U.S.C. § 1908(a)

Maximum prison sentence:

6 Years

Maximum fine:

\$250,000

Maximum supervised release term:

3 Years

Mandatory special assessment:

\$100

## Defendant VOLODOMYR DOMBROVSKYY

Count One - Aiding and Abetting the Failure to Maintain an Accurate Oil Record Book, in violation of 33 U.S.C. § 1908(a)

Maximum prison sentence:

6 Years

Maximum fine:

\$250,000

Maximum supervised release term:

3 Years

Mandatory special assessment:

\$100

Maximum period of probation:

5 years

1 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CBN 44332) United States Attorney 2 FILED 3 4 JUL 1 6 2010 5 E-filing RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA б 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 OAKLAND DIVISION CR10-00552 PL 11 12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. Plaintiff, 13 VIOLATIONS: 33 U.S.C. § 1908(a) – Act to Prevent Pollution from Ships; 18 U.S.C. 14 v. § 1001 – False Statements 15 OAKLAND VENUE DIMITRIOS DIMITRAKIS, 16 VOLODYMYR DOMBROVSKYY, and 17 TRANSMAR SHIPPING CO, S.A. Defendants. 18 19 20 <u>INFORMATION</u> The United States Attorney charges: 21 22 INTRODUCTION 23 At all times relevant to this Information: The M/V New Fortune (hereinafter, "New Fortune") is a 26,136 gross ton ocean-24 25 going bulk cargo ship that is approximately 613-feet in length. The New Fortune was built and sent to sea in 1994. The vessel was operated by defendant, TRANSMAR SHIPPING CO, S.A. 26 ("TRANSMAR"). The New Fortune was registered in the Marshall Islands, and has an 27 International Maritime Organization ("IMO") number of 9082946. The New Fortune was 28 INFORMATION

 engaged in the carriage of bulk products in world-wide commerce. It had a crew of approximately twenty-four people who served either on the deck or in the engine room.

- 2. A crew of about nine seamen worked in the engine room of the *New Fortune*. By order of rank, those seamen included, the Chief Engineer, the Second Engineer, the Third Engineer, the Fourth Engineer, the Electrician, three Oilers, the Fitter, and, at times, the Wiper. The entire crew was led by the Captain—sometimes called "the Master"—of the vessel.
- 3. Defendant DIMITRIOS DIMITRAKIS was the Chief Engineer aboard the *New Fortune*. He served as the vessel's Chief Engineer since coming aboard on March 1, 2009. As Chief Engineer, defendant DIMITRAKIS had overall responsibility for the operations of the engine room, including the supervision of daily operations, formulation and implementation of engine room procedures, and verification that all systems were functioning properly. Defendant DIMITRAKIS was also responsible for keeping and maintaining the vessel's Oil Record Book—which is a required log regularly inspected by the United States Coast Guard ("U.S. Coast Guard"). As Chief Engineer, defendant DIMITRAKIS supervised all engine room crew members. He shared responsibility with the Second Engineer for the operation of the ship's Oil Water Separator and incinerator.
- 4. Defendant VOLODYMYR DOMBROVSKYY was the Second Engineer aboard the *New Fortune*. He served as the vessel's Second Engineer since coming aboard on March 1, 2009. As Second Engineer, defendant DOMBROVSKYY was second in superiority to the Chief Engineer in the engine room. He shared responsibility with the Chief Engineer for the operation of the ship's Oil Water Separator and incinerator.
- 5. On February 16, 2010, the *New Fortune* arrived at the Port of Oakland in Oakland, California. On that same day, the U.S. Coast Guard boarded the *New Fortune* at the Port of Oakland and began conducting a regulatory inspection.

#### MARINE CARGO SHIP OPERATIONS

6. The engine department operations of a large marine cargo vessel such as the *New Fortune* generate large quantities of sludge during the process of purifying fuel oil, lubricating oil, and other petroleum products that are used in the vessel's engines. That resulting sludge is INFORMATION

2

4

1

5

7

8

9 10

12 13

11

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

INFORMATION

transferred to a sludge tank that is located in an area in the bottom of the vessel. The sludge is generally disposed of either by incineration in the vessel's incinerator or by off-loading it at a shore-based disposal port facility.

7. The engine department operations of a large marine cargo vessels such as the New Fortune also generate large quantities of oil-contaminated bilge waste when water collects and mixes in the bottom of the vessel, with oil leaked and dripped from the engine's lubrication and fuel systems. These "oily mixtures" are also known as "bilge slops" and "slops from bilges" and are collected, stored, and processed to separate the water from the oil and other wastes. If done in accordance with the law, the water separation involves the use of a pollution prevention control devices known as an Oil Water Separator and an oil-sensing device known as an Oil Content Meter. The Oil Water Separator works by separating the oil from the water. After the Oil Water Separator separates the oil from the water, the oil is transferred to a bilge holding tank near the sludge tank, and a sample of the water is transferred to the Oil Content Meter. The Oil Content Meter then evaluates the oil content in the water sample of the effluent that comes from the Oil Water Separator. If the Oil Content Meter determines that the oil content of the effluent exceeds fifteen (15) parts per million ("ppm"), then an audio and visual alarm would sound thereby triggering a solenoid three-way valve to redirect the unclean effluent to a storage tank in the vessel. If the Oil Content Meter determines that the oil content of the effluent is fifteen (15) ppm or less, then the effluent is sufficiently clean and is discharged overboard.

### **LEGAL FRAMEWORK**

8. The United States is part of an international agreement known as the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, as modified by the Protocol of 1978 (the "MARPOL Protocol") that regulates the discharge of oil, garbage, and other substances from vessels at sea. The MARPOL Protocol was embodied in agreements that the United States ratified and was implemented in the United States by the Act to Prevent Pollution from Ships ("APPS"), 33 U.S.C. § 1901, et seq. APPS makes it a crime for any person to knowingly violate the MARPOL Protocol, APPS, or regulations promulgated under APPS. These regulations apply to all commercial vessels operating in the navigable waters of the United States or while in a port

or terminal under the jurisdiction of the United States, including vessels operating under the authority of a country other than the United States.

- 9. The MARPOL Protocol established an international regime for the treatment and disposal of oily mixtures generated from the machinery spaces of vessels. Under the MARPOL Protocol, machinery space waste would be discharged overboard into the ocean only if it did not exceed fifteen (15) ppm of oil and the ship had in operation the required pollution prevention equipment, including oil filtering equipment (e.g., an Oil Water Separator), an alarm, and an automatic stopping device (e.g., an Oil Content Meter and a solenoid three-way valve) to prevent the discharge of a mixture containing more than the legally permitted concentration of oil.
- 10. Consistent with the MARPOL Protocol requirements, the APPS regulations require that a ship of 400 gross tons or more, such as the *New Fortune*, maintain a written record known as an Oil Record Book, and record the transfer and disposal of all oil-contaminated waste and the discharge overboard and other disposal of sludge, oily mixtures, slops from bilges and bilge waste water that have accumulated in machinery spaces. 33 C.F.R. §§ 151.09(a)(5), 151.25 (a) and (d); MARPOL Annex I, Regulation 20 and Appendix III. The person in charge of the operation must fully and accurately record all discharges from the machinery spaces of a ship without delay in the Oil Record Book. 33 C.F.R. §§ 151.25(d) and (h). Likewise, the person in charge is required to record any emergency, accidental, or other exceptional discharges of oil or mixtures, including a statement of the circumstances of, and reasons for, the discharge into the Oil Record Book. 33 C.F.R. § 151.25(g). The person in charge must maintain the Oil Record Book on board the vessel for not less than three years, and ensure that it is readily available for inspection at all times. MARPOL Annex I, Regulation 20(5).
- 11. Port states, such as the United States, conduct inspections, known as Port State Control Examinations, to verify compliance with MARPOL requirements and other international standards in their ports and navigable waters. Failure to comply with MARPOL requirements can form the basis of an order to refuse to allow a ship to enter port, to prohibit the ship from leaving port without remedial action, to refer the matter to the flag state or, where appropriate, prosecution in the United States. MARPOL Articles 4, 5, 6.

1	
2	Se
3	14
4	in
5	A.
6	sta
7	Re
8	В
9	
10	<u>C(</u>
11	
12	
13	
14	in
15	
16	со
17	el
18	
19	
20	ac
21	en
22	di
23	th
24	sl
25	th
26	Se
27	sl

The U.S. Coast Guard, an agency of the United States Department of Homeland Security, is charged with enforcing the laws of the United States and is empowered under Title 14, United States Code, Section 89(a), to board vessels and conduct inspections and investigations of potential violations and to determine compliance with the MARPOL Protocol, AAPS, and related regulations. In conducting inspections, U.S. Coast Guard personnel rely on statements of the vessel's crew and documents, including the information contained in the Oil Record Book. The U.S. Coast Guard is specifically authorized to examine a vessel's Oil Record Book, 33 C.F.R. § § 151.23(a)(3) and (c).

## THE OFFENSES

COUNT ONE: (33 U.S.C. § 1908 (a) – Act to Prevent Pollution from Ships, Failing to

Maintain an Oil Record Book, Aiding and Abetting the Failure to Maintain

an Accurate Oil Record Book)

- 13. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 12 are realleged and incorporated herein.
- 14. Beginning on a date unknown, but no later than on or about March 1, 2009, and continuing until on or about February 16, 2010, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, defendants

# DIMITRIOS DIMITRAKIS, VOLODYMYR DOMBROVSKYY, and TRANSMAR SHIPPING,

acting through its agents and employees who were acting within the scope of their agency and employment, and for the benefit of TRANSMAR SHIPPING, and others known and unknown, did knowingly fail to maintain and cause the failure to maintain an accurate Oil Record Book for the *New Fortune*, namely by failing to disclose that defendants had caused the discharge of sludge, oily mixtures, slops from bilges and bilge water that accumulated in machinery spaces through the use of a by-pass hose and procedures that circumvented the ship's Oil Water Separator, Oil Content Meter, and incinerator and by falsely stating that sludge, oily mixtures, slops from bilges and bilge water that accumulated in machinery spaces had been properly treated and disposed of through an Oil Water Separator, oil sensing equipment, and an incinerator.

## INFORMATION

All in violation of Title 33, United States Code, Section 1908(a), Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 151.25, and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2. **COUNT TWO:** (33 U.S.C. § 1001 – False Statement) 15. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 12 are realleged and incorporated herein. From on or about March 1, 2009, through on or about February 16, 2010, in the 16. Northern District of California and elsewhere, defendant TRANSMAR SHIPPING CO, S.A., acting through its agents and employees who were acting within the scope of their agency and 10 employment, and for the benefit of TRANSMAR, did knowingly and willfully make and use and 11 cause the making and use of materially false writings and documents, in a matter within the 12 jurisdiction of the U.S. Coast Guard and Department of Homeland Security, namely, a false and 13 fictitious Oil Record Book for the New Fortune that falsely stated that sludge, oily mixtures, 14 slops from bilges and bilge water that accumulated in machinery spaces had been properly treated 15 and disposed of through an Oil Water Separator, oil sensing equipment, and an incinerator, when, 16 as the defendants well knew, the waste had been discharged directly overboard through a by-pass 17 hose that circumvented the Oil Water Separator and the Oil Content Meter. 18 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, and Title 18, United States 19 Code, Section 2. 20 21 Dated: July /5, 2010 JOSEPH P. RUSSØÑIELLO 22 United States Attorney 23 24 MAUREEN BESSETT Ckief, Oakland Branch 25 26 (Approved as to form: 27 28

INFORMATION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9