Judge Franklin D. Burgess 2 3 4 5 6 07-CR-05412-PLAGR .7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT TACOMA 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, NO.CR07- 5412FDB 11 Plaintiff, 12 PLEA AGREEMENT 13 CALYPSO MARINE CORPORATION, 14 Defendant. 15 The United States of America, by and through Jeffrey C. Sullivan, United States 16 Attorney for the Western District of Washington, and Carl Blackstone and James D. 17 Oesterle, Assistant United States Attorneys for said District, Defendant Calypso Marine 18 Corporation, and Defendant's attorney, Robert Weaver, enter into the following 19 Agreement, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c): 20 Waiver of Indictment. Defendant, by and through its authorized 21 representatives, having been advised of the right to be charged by Indictment, agrees to waive that right and enter a plea of guilty to the charges brought by the United States 23 Attorney in an Information. By entering these pleas of guilty, Defendant hereby waives 24 all objections to the form of the charging document. 25 Defendant, having been advised of the right to have this 2. The Charges. 26 matter tried before a jury, agrees to waive that right and enter a plea of guilty to the following charges contained in the Information:

1	a. Cour	nt 1 Defendant, by and through the knowing and willful actions of
2	the M/V TINA M's c	rew members, did use a false writing and document containing a
3	materially false staten	nent concerning a matter within the jurisdiction of the United States
4	Coast Guard, in viola	tion of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2 and 1001; and
5	b. Cou	nt 2 Defendant, by and through the knowing actions of the M/V
6	TINA M's crew mem	bers, did fail to maintain an Oil Record Book in which all transfers
7	of oil residue and all	overboard discharges and disposals of oily waste water and oily
8	sludge were fully and	accurately recorded, in violation of Title 33, United States Code,
9	Section 1908(a) and T	Citle 33, Code of Federal Regulations, Sections 151.25(a), (d) and
10	(h).	
11	3. Element	s of the Offenses.
12	a. <u>C</u>	Count 1 The elements of the offense of using a false writing or
13	document containing	a materially false statement in violation of Title 18, United States
14	Code, Sections 2 and	1001, are as follows:
15	. (3	1) Defendant, by and through the actions of its agents and/or
16	SI	mployees, used a writing or document which contained a false tatement in a matter within the jurisdiction of the United States Coast Guard;
17	(2) Defendant's agents and/or employees, acted willfully, that is
18		eliberately and with knowledge that the writing or document was ntrue; and
19 20		B) The writing or document was material to the United States Coast Guard's activities or decisions.
21	b. <u>C</u>	Count 2 To establish liability for the charged offense of violating
22	the Act to Prevent Po	llution from Ships in violation of Title 33, United States Code,
23	Sections 1308(a) and	Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, Sections 151.25(a), (d) and
24	(h), the government n	nust prove that the Defendant, by and through the knowing actions
25	of its agents and/or er	nployees, one or more of whom acted as the person in charge of
26	the oil transfer operat	ions on board the M/V TINA M, failed to maintain an Oil Record
27	Book in which all tran	nsfers of oil residue and all overboard discharges and disposals of
28	oily waste water and o	oily sludge were fully recorded.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

212 U.S. 481, 495 (1909); United States v. Beusch, 596 F.2d 871, 877 (9th Cir. 1979);

its agents and employees. New York Central and Hudson River R.R. v. United States,

Under well-established principles of corporate liability and respondeat superior,

United States v. Hilton Hotels Corporation, 467 F.2d 1000, 1004-07 (9th Cir. 1972).

The Penalties. Defendant understands that the statutory penalties

applicable to a corporate defendant for the offenses of (a) using a false statement in

violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2 and 1001, as charged in Count 1 of

the Information, and (b) failing to maintain an accurate Oil Record Book in violation of

Title 33, United States Code, Section 1908(a) and Title 33, Code of Federal

Regulations, Sections 151.25(a), (d) and (h), as charged in Count 2 of the Information

are as follows: a maximum fine of up to Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000)

per count, a term of probation of up to five (5) years, and a special assessment of Four

Hundred Dollars (\$400) per count. Defendant agrees that the special assessment shall be

paid at or before the time of sentencing.

Defendant further understands that, as to each count, the statutory penalties include the Alternative Fines Provision set forth in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3571(d), which provides: "If any person derives pecuniary gain from the offense, or if the offense results in a pecuniary loss to a person other than the defendant, the defendant may be fined not more than the greater of twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss unless imposition of a fine under this subsection would unduly complicate or prolong the sentending process."

With the exception of the deferred payment noted in paragraph 7(c) below, Defendant agrees that any monetary penalty the Court imposes, including the special assessment, fine, costs or restitution, is due and payable immediately, and further agrees to submit a completed Financial Statement of Debtor form as requested by the United States Attorney's Office.

Rights Waived by Pleading Guilty. Defendant understands that by pleading 5.

guilty, Defendant knowingly and voluntarily waives the following rights: a. The right to plead not guilty, and to persist in a plea of not guilty; 2 b. The right to a speedy and public trial before an impartial jury; 3 C. The right to the effective assistance of counsel at trial; d. 5 The right to be presumed innocent until guilt has been established at trial, beyond a reasonable doubt; 6 The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against it at trial; e. f. The right to compel or subpoena witnesses to appear on its behalf at 8 9 trial; and 10 The right to appeal a finding of guilt or any pretrial rulings. g. 6. Applicability of United States Sentencing Guidelines. 11 understands and acknowledges that the United States Sentencing Guidelines promulgated 12 13 by the United States Sentencing Commission are applicable to the sentencing in this case for advisory purposes, except that pursuant to USSG §§ 8C2.1, Chapter 8 of the United States Sentencing Guidelines is not applicable to the determination of the appropriate 15 16 fine in this case. Defendant understands and acknowledges that, at sentencing, the Court must consider the factors set forth in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a), 17 including: (1) the nature and circumstances of the offense(s); (2) the history and 18 characteristics of the defendant; (3) the need for the sentence to reflect the seriousness of 19 20 the offense, to promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment for the offense; (4) the need for the sentence to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct; (5) the 21 need for the sentence to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant; (6) the 22 need to provide the defendant with educational and vocational training, medical care, or 23 24 other correctional treatment in the most effective manner; (7) the kinds of sentences available; (8) the need to provide restitution to victims; and (9) the need to avoid 25 26 unwarranted sentence disparity among defendants involved in similar conduct who have similar records. Accordingly, Defendant understands and acknowledges that: 27 28 a. The Court will determine Defendant's applicable Sentencing

1	Guidelines range at	the time of sentencing;
2	_i b.	After consideration of the Sentencing Guidelines and the factors in
3	18 U.S.C. 3553(a),	the Court may impose any sentence authorized by law, up to the
. 4	maximum term autl	norized by law;
5	c.	The Court is not bound by any recommendation regarding the
6	sentence to be impo	sed, or by any calculation or estimation of the Sentencing Guidelines
7	range offered by the	parties or the United States Probation Department, or by any
8	stipulations or agree	ements between the parties in this Plea Agreement; and
9	d.	Defendant may not withdraw a guilty plea solely because of the
10	sentence imposed b	y the Court.
11	7. <u>Sente</u>	ncing Agreement. The United States and Defendant agree to jointly
12	recommend that the	e Court impose the following sentence:
13	a.	Fine. Defendant shall pay a criminal fine in the amount of One
14	Million Dollars (\$1	,000,000) which includes an amount to be paid in the form of
15	community service	as described below.
16	b.	Mandatory Special Assessment. Defendant shall pay a special
17	assessment of Four	Hundred dollars (\$400) on each of the two counts for a total of Eight
18	Hundred dollars (\$	800).
19	c.	Payment of Fine, Assessments, and Community Service. With the
20	exception of one-ha	alf of the criminal fine owing for Count 1 of the Information, or Two
21	Hundred Fifty Tho	usand dollars (\$250,000), which shall be paid no later than eighteen
22	(18) months follow	ing entry of judgement in this case, Defendant agrees that all
23	monetary penalties	imposed by the Court, including the fines, community service payment,
24	and special assessm	ents, shall be paid on the day of sentencing. Payments of the criminal
25	fine and special ass	essments shall be made in the form of a check(s) payable to "United
26	States District Cour	t Clerk." Payment of the community service amount set forth in
27	subparagraph e, sha	ll be made in the form of a check payable to the "National Fish and
28	Wildlife Foundation	re: Columbia River Estuarine Coastal Fund."

1	d. <u>Probation</u> . Defendant will be placed on organizational probation for
2	a period of four (4) years pursuant to USSG §§ 8D1.1 and 8D1.2. The terms of
3	probation shall be:
4	(1) <u>No Further Violations</u> . Defendant agrees that it shall comm
5	no further violations of federal, state or local law, and shall conduct all its operations in
6	accordance with the MARPOL Protocol.
7	(2) Environmental Management System/Compliance Plan.
8	Consistent with the sentencing policies set forth in USSG § 8D1.4, Defendant agrees to
9	develop, adopt, implement and fund the Environmental Management
10	System/Compliance Plan ("EMS") attached hereto as Exhibit A. Defendant shall be
11	responsible for all costs associated with the development, implementation, maintenance
12	and monitoring of the EMS.
13	Defendant agrees that during the period of probation, and at all reasonable times
14	and with as reasonable prior notice by the government as practicable, it will provide the
15	government with full access to its vessels listed in the EMS, as well as all facilities,
16	employees, and records that are relevant to monitoring compliance with the terms and
17	conditions of the EMS.
18	If Defendant changes its name, the renamed company shall be obliged to meet all
19	of the obligations of Defendant under this agreement. If Defendant merges with another
20	company through a stock or asset purchase, the newly created or merged company shall
21	be obliged to meet all of the obligations of Defendant under this agreement with regard
22	to those vessels managed by Defendant at the date of the merger.
23	e. <u>Community Service</u> . The parties understand and agree that the
24	government will petition the Court at or before the time of sentencing to apply a portion
25	of Defendant's criminal fine identified in paragraph 7(a), above, to community service

pursuant to USSG § 8B1.3 and in furtherance of the sentencing principles provided in 18

U.S.C. § 3553(a), for the purpose of funding one or more projects for the benefit,

preservation, and restoration of the environment and ecosystems in the waters in and

along the Columbia River below the Bonneville Dam and the adjacent coasts of Oregon (up to and including Tillamook Bay) and Washington (up to and including Willapa Bay) that are affected by estuarine flows. Defendant shall not oppose such a petition, including the amount allocated to the community service projects. Subject to the Court's approval, Defendant shall make an organizational community service payment in the amount of Four Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$400,000) to the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation. The National Fish and Wildlife Foundation (NFWF) is a charitable and nonprofit corporation established pursuant to 16 U.S.C. §§ 3701-3709. It is congressionally authorized to accept and administer "private gifts of property for the benefit of, or in connection with, the activities and services of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service," and the performance of "such other activities as will further the conservation and management of the fish, wildlife, and plant resources of the United States, and its territories and possessions for present and future generations of Americans." Id. § 3701(b)(1), (2).

The community service payment shall be directed to the Columbia River Estuarine Coastal Fund, which is administered by the NFWF. The Fund was established to finance the following types of projects within the geographic area described in the preceding paragraph: (1) habitat conservation, protection, restoration and management projects to benefit fish and wildlife resources and the habitats on which they depend; (2) landowner outreach and incentive programs for restoration and management of natural resources; (3) public use and natural/cultural projects that benefit the Fish and Wildlife Refuge System and other federal and state refuges, reserves, and specially protected natural areas; and (4) collaborative projects from local coastal communities seeking environmentally and economically sustainable solutions to natural resources problems. Defendant will not characterize, publicize or refer to the community service payment as a voluntary donation or contribution.

8. <u>Application of the Agreement</u>. The parties recognize that during the term of probation, the number and identity of vessels owned or managed by Defendant that

call on United States ports may increase or decrease. Any vessel, the management or ownership of which is assumed by Defendant, and which calls in the United States, shall 3 be included within the scope of its EMS. Any vessel removed from management or ownership by the Defendant or which stops calling in the United States shall be excluded from the scope of its EMS. This Agreement shall bind Defendant and such other 5 companies as may assume or acquire a management or ownership interest in an identified vessel. This Agreement does not apply to any vessel owned by the defendant which is subsequently sold in an arms length transaction to an unrelated purchaser. 8 Defendant shall provide the government and the United States Probation Office with 9 immediate notice of any name change, business reorganization, sale or divestiture 10 impacting its ability to pay the fine or affecting this Agreement and the EMS. 11 Defendant shall not engage in any action to seek to avoid the obligations and conditions set forth in this Agreement. Defendant acknowledges that no one has promised or 13 guaranteed what sentence the Court will impose.

9. <u>Statement of Facts</u>. The parties agree on the following facts. Defendant admits they are guilty of the charged offense.

Introduction

At all relevant times, Defendant owned the Motor Vessel ("M/V") TINA M, a Maltian flagged marine vessel operated by Athenian Ship Management, Inc. The M/V TINA M was an approximately 35,063 gross tonnage ocean going bulk carrier.

The M/V TINA M had an engine department headed by a Chief Engineer. The Chief Engineer had overall responsibility for engine room operations including supervising daily operations, formulating and implementing engine room procedures, and verifying engine room systems were functioning properly. He reported directly to the Captain and to shore-based managers. The Chief Engineer was assisted by a Second Engineer and a Third Engineer who in turn were assisted by laborers referred to in the maritime industry as "oilers" and "cadets," all of whom were employees and agents of the Defendant and Athenian Ship Management, Inc.

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

Normal operations of marine vessels like the M/V TINA M produce large quantities of oily sludge. Oily sludge is generated during the process of purifying or preparing fuel oil, lubricating oil, and other petroleum products for use in the engines. Oily sludge generated by these processes must be stored on board the vessel in sludge tanks. The oily sludge can be burned on board the vessel through use of an incinerator or auxiliary boiler or offloaded onto barges or shore-side facilities for disposal. The M/V TINA M was equipped with an incinerator capable of burning oily sludge.

In addition to oily sludge, waste oil together with water and other liquids accumulate in the bottom or "bilges" of the vessel. This oily water typically drains into the "bilge wells," compartments set into the bottom of the engine room space. The bilge waste is collected and run through various processes designed to separate the oil and other wastes from the water. These processes include settling tanks and an oil water separator (OWS), a pollution control device designed to remove oil from water to facilitate discharge of the processed water directly to the sea. Properly processed bilge water containing very small amounts of oil may be legally discharged overboard. The M/V TINA M was equipped with an OWS capable of processing oily water.

Legal Framework

The United States is part of an international regime that regulates discharges of oil from vessels at sea: the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, as modified by the Protocol of 1978 (the "MARPOL Protocol"). The MARPOL Protocol is embodied in numerous agreements that the United States has ratified and implemented into law by the Act to Prevent Pollution from Ships (APPS), Title 33, United States Code, Sections 1901, et seq. APPS makes it a crime for any person to knowingly violate the MARPOL Protocol, APPS, or regulations promulgated under APPS. These regulations apply to all commercial vessels operating in United States waters or while at a port or terminal under the jurisdiction of the United States, including vessels operating under the authority of a country other than the United States. APPS regulations require that vessels of more than 400 gross tons, such as the M/V

TINA M, maintain a document known as the Oil Record Book. Vessel personnel must use the Oil Record Book to fully and accurately record transfers of oil, disposals of sludge and waste oil, and overboard discharges of oil contaminated water that accumulated in machinery spaces. Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 151.25(d). Ship personnel must also fully and accurately record any emergency, accidental, or other exceptional discharges of oil. Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 151.25(g). In addition, the Oil Record Book must be maintained on board the vessel for not less than three years, and be readily available for inspection at all reasonable times. Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 151.25(k).

"Flag states" (i.e., countries that register marine vessels) certify a vessel's compliance with international laws. "Port states" (i.e., countries visited by marine vessels), such as the United States, inspect vessels to assure compliance with the law within their ports and waters. The United States Coast Guard, an agency of the United States Department of Homeland Security, is charged with enforcing the laws of the United States and is empowered under Title 14, United States Code, Section 89(a) to board vessels and conduct inspections and investigations of potential violations and to determine compliance with MARPOL Protocol, APPS, and implementing regulations.

The Coast Guard conducts Port State Control Examinations which involve boarding a vessel and conducting inspections and investigations of potential violations. Failure to comply with international standards, including MARPOL, can provide the basis of an order refusing to allow a vessel to enter port, or to prohibit the vessel from leaving port without remedial action and assuring authorities the vessel does not present an unreasonable threat to the marine environment. Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, Sections 151.07(b) and 151.25(b). Coast Guard personnel rely on crew member statements and vessel documents when conducting inspections and investigations. They are specifically authorized to examine a vessel's Oil Record Book to determine, among other things, whether the vessel has operable pollution prevention equipment, whether it poses any danger to United States ports and waters, and whether

the vessel discharged any oil or oily mixtures in violation of MARPOL, APPS, or any other applicable federal regulations. Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, Sections 151.23(a)(3) and (c).

Factual Basis For Plea

On or about May 21, 2007, the M/V TINA M berthed at the Port of Kalama in Washington State and was boarded by Coast Guard personnel to conduct a MARPOL inspection. Inspectors were presented with and reviewed various records, including the Oil Record Book. No discernable discrepancies were noted in the Oil Record Book. Coast Guard inspectors did, however, discover two sections of fixed piping hidden in a storage area within the vessel.

Discovery of the pipe sections led inspectors to examine potential uses for the pipes. With the assistance of unidentified crew members, inspectors determined that the pipe sections could be configured to connect a discharge valve on the bilge pump to the overboard discharge valve through which processed wastewater from the OWS is supposed to be discharged. A closer inspection of the overboard discharge valve disclosed the presence of oily sludge that should not have been present had the overboard discharge valve been used exclusively to discharge treated bilge water from the oil water separator. Finally, inspectors discovered that the area surrounding the overboard discharge valve, including the valve itself, had been freshly painted in an apparent attempt to conceal the fact that a bypass pipe had been installed to facilitate the direct discharge of oily sludge and oily wastewater.

Between on or about May 21, 2007 and June 1, 2007, members of the M/V TINA M engine room crew confirmed to Coast Guard investigators and other law enforcement personnel that the bypass pipe discovered by inspectors on May 21, 2007 was used to discharge oily sludge and wastewater directly into the sea. Crew members stated the bypass pipe was routinely connected when the vessel transited between ports. The pipe, referred to by some engine room crew members as the bypass or "magic" pipe, was configured to carry oily sludge and wastewater from the bilge pump directly

to the overboard discharge valve.

Engine room crew members used the bypass pipe to discharge oily waste in violation of international law. Generally speaking, engine room crew members installed the bypass pipe shortly after the vessel departed port for a multi-day voyage transiting the ocean. The pipe would remain installed throughout the voyage. Crew members were instructed to conduct discharge activities at night, using the cover of darkness to minimize the risk of detection. Engine room crew members removed the bypass pipe several days before the vessel entered the arrival port. It was used during the vessel's most recent transit between Korea and the Port of Kalama.

The Chief Engineer serving on the M/V TINA M knew it was unlawful to discharge untreated oily waste overboard into the ocean. He also knew the United States Coast Guard enforced international and U.S. prohibitions against this type of pollution. The Chief Engineer was concerned U.S. Coast Guard officials would discover the vessel's discharging activity and ordered crew members to take steps to conceal evidence of their conduct. The bypass pipe was removed and hidden several days before arriving in the Port of Kalama. Acting under directions from an engineering superintendent from Athenian Ship Management, Inc. who had boarded the vessel near Astoria, Oregon, the Chief Engineer instructed engine room crew members to (1) clean and paint the area around the OWS, and (2) weld a "blank" flange onto the discharge valve of the bilge pump to conceal use of the valve.

The Chief Engineer took further steps to conceal the illegal discharges from port state control authorities by making extensive false and fraudulent entries in the ship's Oil Record Book ("ORB"). The entries falsely stated that crew members had discharged bilge water overboard after being properly treated in the OWS. Similarly, numerous entries falsely stated crew members used the vessel incinerator to burn oily sludge on a routine basis. In truth, neither the OWS nor the incinerator were used for their stated purpose. The false and fraudulent entries and omissions created the false impression that oily waste generated onboard the M/V TINA M was being managed correctly in

accordance with applicable regulations.

The Chief Engineer knew, at the time the Oil Record Book entries were made, initialed, and presented, that in truth and in fact, oily sludge was not burned in the incinerator and had been periodically discharged directly overboard. In addition, he knew the OWS was not used to process oily wastewater. The Chief Engineer knew these false and fraudulent entries and omissions were material to the enforcement and regulatory duties of the United States Coast Guard. The omissions and false entries created the impression oily waste generated on board the M/V TINA M was being managed correctly in accordance with applicable regulations

Despite the legal risks associated with falsifying the Oil Record Book, the Chief Engineer recognized his conduct benefitted the owner and operator of the M/V TINA M by reducing labor costs, disposal fees, and maintenance expenses.

10. Non-Prosecution of Additional Offenses. As part of this Plea Agreement, the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington agrees not to prosecute Defendants for any additional offenses known to it as of the time of this Agreement that are based upon evidence in its possession at this time, or that arise out of the conduct giving rise to this investigation. In this regard, Defendant recognizes the United States has agreed not to prosecute all of the criminal charges the evidence establishes were committed by Defendant solely because of the promises made by Defendant in this Agreement. Defendant agrees, however, that for purposes of preparing the Presentence Report, the United States Attorney's Office will provide the United States Probation Office with evidence of all conduct committed by Defendant.

Defendant agrees that any charges to be dismissed before or at the time of sentencing were substantially justified in light of the evidence available to the United States, were not vexatious, frivolous or taken in bad faith, and do not provide Defendant with a basis for any future claims under the "Hyde Amendment," Pub.L. No. 105-119(1997).

Nothing contained in this Agreement is meant to limit the rights and authority of

the United States to take further civil or administrative action against Defendant, including but not limited to, any listing and debarment proceedings to restrict rights and opportunities of Defendant to contract with or receive assistance, loans, and benefits from United States agencies.

- 11. <u>Corporate Authorization</u>. Defendant represents that it is authorized to enter into this Agreement. On or before the date of entry and filing of the Plea Agreement, Defendant shall provide to the United States and the Court a written statement under corporate seal, certifying that the Defendant company is authorized to enter into and comply with all the provisions of this Plea Agreement. The resolutions further shall certify that the Presidents or Chief Operating Officers are authorized to take these actions, and that all corporate formalities for such authorizations have been observed.
- 12. Agreement on Security. Defendant and Athenian Ship Management Inc. entered in an Agreement on Security with the United States on or about May 27, 2007 pursuant to which Defendant and Athenian posted security to ensure compliance with specific terms associated with the adjudication of potential fines and penalties for the conduct underlying this plea agreement. Paragraphs 3, 4 and 5 of the Agreement on Security address Defendant's and Athenian's obligations concerning treatment of M/V TINA M crew members identified in paragraph 2. Defendant hereby acknowledges those obligations and agrees as part of this Plea Agreement to fulfill those obligations.
- 13. Breach, Waiver, and Post-Plea Conduct. Defendant agrees that if it breaches this Plea Agreement, the United States may withdraw from this Plea Agreement and Defendant may be prosecuted for all offenses for which the United States has evidence. Defendant agrees not to oppose any steps taken by the United States to nullify this Plea Agreement, including the filing of a motion to withdraw from the Plea Agreement. Defendant also agrees that if it is in breach of this Plea Agreement, Defendant has waived any objection to the Information or any additional charges that had not been prosecuted.
 - 14. Waiver of Appeal Defendant is aware that 18 U.S.C. § 3742 gives the

right to appeal the	sentence to be imposed, and that other federal statutes give Defendant
the right to appeal	other aspects of the conviction. In consideration of this agreement,
Defendant knowin	gly and voluntarily agrees to waive the following rights:

- a. The right, conferred by 18 U.S.C. § 3742, to appeal any sentence imposed by the Court for the conviction of these offenses, except if the sentence imposed varies from that agreed upon above under Rule 11(e)(1)(C);
- b. The right to appeal any aspect of Defendant's conviction, including any pre-charge or pre-trial dispositions of motions or other issues; and
- c. The right to bring any collateral attack against Defendant's conviction or sentence, except as it may relate to the effectiveness of its legal representation or as permitted under subparagraph (a) above.

If Defendant breaches this Plea Agreement at any time by appealing or collaterally attacking (except as to effectiveness of legal representation) the conviction or sentence in any way, the United States may prosecute Defendant for any counts, including those with mandatory minimum sentences, that were dismissed or not charged pursuant to this Plea Agreement.

- 15. Voluntariness of Plea. Defendant agrees that it has entered into this Plea Agreement freely and voluntarily, and that no threats or promises, other than the promises contained in this Plea Agreement, were made to induce Defendant to enter this plea of guilty.
- 16. Statute of Limitations. In the event this Agreement is not accepted by the Court for any reason, or Defendant breaches any of the terms of this Plea Agreement, the statute of limitations shall be deemed to have been tolled from the date of the Plea Agreement to: (1) 30 days following the date of non-acceptance of the Plea Agreement by the Court; or (2) 30 days following the date on which a breach of the Plea Agreement by Defendant is discovered by the United States Attorney's Office.
- 17. <u>Completeness of Agreement</u>. The United States and Defendant acknowledge that these terms constitute the entire Plea Agreement between the parties.

1	This Agreement binds only the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of
2	Washington. It does not bind any other United States Attorney's Office or any other office
3	or agency of the United States, or any state or local prosecutor.
4	Dated this day of June, 2007.
5	FOR THE UNITED STATES:
6	
7	JEFFREY C. SULLIVAN
8	United States Attorney
9	Cal Bloth
10 ·	CARL BLACKSTONE Assistant United States Attorney
11	\mathcal{A}
12	IAMES D. OESTERLE
13	Assistant United States Attorney
14	
15	FOR DEFENDANT:
16	40 /2/100
17	BARBARA L. HOLLAND
18	Authorized Corporate Representative Calypso Marine Corporation
19	
20	ROBERT WEAVER
21	Counsel for Calypso Marine Corporation
22	· ·
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	